

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/616,188 | 07/09/2003 | Marion G. Waggoner | AD6881USNA | 9677 |
| 23906 | 7590 06/23/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER | | | VIJAYAKUMAR, KALLAMBELLA M | |
| | ILL PLAZA 25/1128 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 4417 LANCASTER PIKE | | | 1751 | |
| WILMINGTO | ON, DE 19805 | | DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/616,188 Examiner | WAGGONER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Kallambella Vijayakumar | 1751 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Management of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on | ailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | mendment which places the |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See e | te a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below). | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T | | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requi Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing of Tran | smission dated), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an analysis. 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | ence rendered on and becaus as. | e the period for seeking court review |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | |
| See the attached PTOL-413B; Interview Summary | | Mark |
| | P | Mark Kopec Primary Examiner |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw ninimize any negative effects on patent term. | v the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)